



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/462,717	04/10/2000	Scott Olive		5432
23446	7590 01/13/2005		EXAM	INER
MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD			NGUYEN, KIM T	
500 WEST MA	ADISON STREET			
SUITE 3400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, IL 60661			3713	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/462,717	OLIVE, SCOTT				
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Kim Nguyen	3713				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 106 and 107 is/are pending in the appearance of the above claim(s) is/are withdrays   5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 106 and 107 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat nity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	🗖 .					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/16/04, 10/5/04, 10/12/04, 11/16	· —					

Application/Control Number: 09/462,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 3713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Examiner acknowledges receipt of amendment on 10/14/04. According to the amendment, claims 1-105 have been canceled, claims 106-107 have been added, and claims 106-107 are now pending in the application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 106-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification page 7, lines 31-32, discloses allotting <u>one</u> number for each credit. However, the specification does not disclose allotting <u>a plurality of</u> numbers for each credit as claimed in claim 106, line 10. Claim 107 is rejected as being dependent on the rejected base claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/462,717

manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3713

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

Page 3

- 4. Claims 106-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torango (US 6,592,460).
- a. As per claim 106, Torango discloses a method of awarding a progressive prize comprising allowing the player to bet a wager (col. 7, lines 1-9; and col. 24, lines 6-7), initiating the play (col. 7, lines 18-20), selecting a random number within a predetermined fixed range of numbers (col. 16, lines 41-53 and 50-55; and col. 24, lines 13-14); awarding the progressive prize (col. 16, lines 43-45 and col. 24, lines 17-19). Torango does not explicitly disclose allotting a plurality of numbers for each credit bet by the player. However, Torango discloses allotting a number for a bet wager, the number is within the predetermined fixed range of numbers (col. 16, lines 11-40 and 43-45; and col. 24, lines 3 and 11-12). It would have been obvious design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allot a plurality of numbers for each credit of the wager in order to facilitate adjusting the probability of winning according to the amount of wager.
- b. As per claim 107, implementing identical play characteristic for each gaming machine to ensure uniformity of all the gaming machine would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

#### Remarks

Page 4

In the Remarks in the third paragraph of page 3, the examiner does not agree that claims 106-107 are allowable over Torango (US 6,592,460). Instead, in the interview, the examiner just agrees to reconsider the claims 106-107 against the teaching of Torango. Upon consideration of independent claim 106, the examiner finds that claim 106 is obviously taught by Torango (refer to the section *Claim Rejections-35 USC § 103* above). Further, since Torango discloses assigning a fixed range of numbers (col. 16, lines 25-40), selecting a random number within the fixed range (col. 24, lines 13-14), allotting a number to the player from the fixed range (col. 24, lines 3 and 11-12), and awarding the player (col. 24, lines 17-19); and since assigning the range, selecting a random number, allotting a number, and awarding the player made a complete single play, Torango obviously discloses not to change the fixed range of numbers during the single play.

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/462,717

Art Unit: 3713

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED

Page 5

PROCEDURE")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza II, Arlington, VA

Second Floor (Receptionist).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4441. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Xuan Thai, can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The central official fax number is

(703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

kn

Date: January 5, 2005

KIM NGUYEN